

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,835	07/22/2003	Gary Horng	MR2863-123	MR2863-123 6516	
4586	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			SEMBER, THOMAS M		
	CITY, MD 21043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
	10/623,835	HORNG, GARY	<b>A</b> /			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	ÚK.			
	Thomas M Sember	2875				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		•	988 <b></b>			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	July 2003					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicatio						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wit from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the octained copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 2875

## R sponse to Arguments

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lothman. Lothman discloses an ornamental flower, comprising: at least one ornamental petal portion 22; and an illuminating assembly, which comprises: a light diffusing shell 18 (see column 2, lines 27-31); a light-emitting unit for emitting a light beaming; and a holding member 16. Wherein the light diffusing shell 18 is made of a light-transmissible material and connected to a most front end of the ornamental flower via the holding member 16. The light diffusing shell defines an internal space for accommodating the light-emitting unit therein (filament is inside the lamp's diffusing shell), such that the light beams emitted from the light-emitting unit are evenly diffused and projected toward the at least one ornamental petal portion surrounding the illuminating assembly. The diffuser has an outer surface is formed into a plurality of facets 20.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,8**3**5 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lothman in view of Tai. Lothman discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the light source is an LED. Tai teaches an illuminated flower which uses an LED. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the LED of Tai for the light source of Lothman in order to provide a more efficient, longer lasting low voltage light source which consumes less power.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lothman in view of Shu. Lothman discloses the claimed invention except for the details of the holding member. Shu discloses a light-emitting unit holder 2

internally provided with two through holes for pins 32 of the light-emitting unit to extend there through to electrically connect to two leads of a power cord and thereby obtain a working voltage for the light-emitting unit, and a lead holder 4 is provided at a rear portion with two through holes for the two leads of the power cord to extend there through, and the lead holder has a front end extended into a connecting sleeve (the engaging means at the bottom of detail 2) to engage with the light-emitting unit holder.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rong et al, Abramson, Fernandez, Hunt and Sieloff disclose decorative light assemblies that are similar to applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,8**3**5 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875

\*\*\*